Genetic influences on exercise-induced adult hippocampal neurogenesis across 12 divergent mouse strains
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New neurons are continuously born in the hippocampus of several mammalian species throughout adulthood. Adult neurogenesis represents a natural model for understanding how to grow and incorporate new nerve cells into preexisting circuits in the brain. Finding molecules or biological pathways that increase neurogenesis has broad potential for regenerative medicine. One strategy is to identify mouse strains that display large vs. small increases in neurogenesis in response to wheel running so that the strains can be contrasted to find common genes or biological pathways associated with enhanced neuron formation. Therefore, mice from 12 different isogenic strains were housed with or without running wheels for 43 days to measure the genetic regulation of exercise-induced neurogenesis. During the first 10 days mice received daily injections of 5-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) to label dividing cells. Neurogenesis was measured as the total number of BrdU cells co-expressing NeuN mature neuronal marker in the hippocampal granule cell layer by immunohistochemistry. Exercise increased neurogenesis in all strains, but the magnitude significantly depended on genotype. Strain means for distance run on wheels, but not distance traveled in cages without wheels, were significantly correlated with strain mean level of neurogenesis. Furthermore, certain strains displayed greater neurogenesis than others for a fixed level of running. Strain means for neurogenesis under sedentary conditions were not correlated with neurogenesis under runner conditions suggesting that different genes influence baseline vs. exercise-induced neurogenesis. Genetic contributions to exercise-induced hippocampal neurogenesis suggest that it may be possible to identify genes and pathways associated with enhanced neuroplastic responses to exercise.
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The discovery that new nerve cells are continuously born in the hippocampus of adult rodents and humans has generated great interest (Deng et al. 2010; Kempermann 2002; Schinder & Gage 2004). Adult hippocampal neurogenesis represents a natural model for understanding how to regenerate and incorporate new neurons into preexisting circuits in the adult brain. If the process could be controlled, then the resulting technology could have broad therapeutic potential for cognitive aging, neurodegenerative disease, stroke, head trauma and possibly emotional disorders such as depression and addiction (Eisch & Harburg 2006; Kempermann et al. 2008; Leuner et al. 2007; van Praag et al. 2002; Sahay & Hen 2007).

Artificially replicating the microenvironment in the dentate gyrus responsible for increasing neurogenesis will be extremely difficult. The process involves activation of granule neurons (Deisseroth et al. 2004), extracellular changes in neurochemistry (Bequet et al. 2001; Neeper et al. 1995), changes in gene expression (Neeper et al. 1996) and changes in vascular density (Clark et al. 2009; Van der Borght et al. 2009). An alternative approach is to identify specific molecules or biological pathways that increase sensitivity to a natural stimulator of neurogenesis such as aerobic exercise (van Praag et al. 1999b). Exercise increases the rate of neurogenesis, resulting in increased total numbers of granule neurons and volume of the entire granule cell layer (Clark et al. 2008, 2009; Rhodes et al. 2003). If biological pathways could be manipulated to increase exercise-induced neurogenesis, then it might be possible to accelerate or enhance the integration of new neurons into hippocampal circuits by combining a therapeutic manipulation with an exercise intervention.

One strategy is to identify strains of mice that display extremely high vs. extremely low levels of neurogenesis in response to exercise (Rhodes et al. 2003). Such strains can then be contrasted to discover common genetic and neurobiological differences that contribute to differential sensitivity. Previous studies have characterized strain differences in baseline levels of adult hippocampal neurogenesis when the animals are housed under sedentary conditions (Kempermann & Gage 2002a,b; Kempermann et al. 1997, 2006). However, recent evidence suggests that different genetic pathways may influence baseline sedentary...
levels of neurogenesis as compared to exercise-induced neurogenesis (Thuret et al. 2009). Moreover, the magnitude of changes in neurogenesis from exercise exceeds natural genetic variation in neurogenesis under sedentary conditions (Rhodes et al. 2003). Hence, an analysis of genetic variation in exercise-induced neurogenesis contributes unique information toward understanding how to effectively grow and incorporate new nerve cells into brain circuits.

Prior to this report, most of what we knew about exercise-induced neurogenesis in mice was conducted in a single mouse strain, C57BL/6J and recently a few others (Bednarczyk et al. 2009; Clark et al. 2009; Rhodes et al. 2003; Thuret et al. 2009). Therefore, the goal of this study was to compare exercise-induced adult hippocampal neurogenesis in 12 different genetically divergent mouse strains. Results identify specific genotypes that display high levels of exercise-induced neurogenesis and other genotypes that display low levels of neurogenesis in response to exercise. Future studies could contrast these mouse strains to identify molecular or neurobiological factors common to the high responding strains and uncommon in the low strains that enhance the growth of new nerve cells in the adult hippocampus.

Materials and methods

Animals

A total of 192 mice from 12 different isogenic strains were used in this study (n = 16 per stain: 129S1/SvJ, AKRJ, B6129SF1/J, B6D2F1/J, BALB/cByJ, BTBR T+tf/J, C57BL/10J, C57BL/6J, CAST/EiJ, DBA/2J, NOD/ShiLtJ and SM/J). An equal number of males and females (n = batch) that consisted of 8 mice per stain equally divided by sex they are genetically diverse (Rhodes et al. 2007). Third, they are listed about the specific genotypes used herein. Second, the strains are different investigators over many years can establish information. Fourth, the F1 hybrid strains, B6D2F1/J and B6129SF1/J, were incorporated into a database of genetic and phenotypic information. Among the most widely used in biomedical research and becoming as priority in the Mouse Phenome Database. Hence, these stains are housed in cages with or without wheels as described below. Rooms in each strain, with the exception of BTBR T+tf/J (n = 9 females and 7 males). These strains were chosen for several reasons. First, they are all isogenic, i.e. same sex members of a strain are all genetically identical. This is useful for partitioning phenotypic variation into genetic and environmental components (Crabbe et al. 1990). It is also useful because the genotypes are reproducible indefinitely. Different investigators over many years can establish information about the specific genotypes used herein. Second, the strains are broadly distributed on the phylogeny of laboratory mouse strains, i.e. they are genetically diverse (Rhodes et al. 2007). Third, they are listed as priority in the Mouse Phenome Database. Hence, these strains are among the most widely used in biomedical research and becoming incorporated into a database of genetic and phenotypic information. Fourth, the F1 hybrid strains, B6D2F1/J and B6129SF1/J, were included to incorporate heterozygosity in the estimation of genetic differences. The F1 hybrids are isogenic but also heterozygous at all polymorphic loci segregating in the parental strains. B6129SF1/J is the cross between a C57BL/6J female and a 129S1/SvJ male. B6D2F1/J is the cross between a C57BL/6J female and a DBA/2J male.

The experiments were conducted in two batches (n = 96 per batch) that consisted of 8 mice per stain equally divided by sex (n = 4 males and 4 females), with the exception of BTBR T+tf/J (n = 3 males and 5 females in the second batch).

Husbandry

The two cohorts of mice arrived at the Beckman Institute Animal Facility from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA) at exactly 5 weeks of age. Upon arrival mice were housed four per cage by sex in standard polycarbonate shoebox cages (dimensions 29 × 19 × 13 cm; L × W × H) with corn cob bedding, 7097 L (Harlan Teklad, Madison, WI, USA) for 3 weeks until they were individually housed in cages with or without wheels as described below. Rooms were controlled for temperature (21°C) and photo-period (12 h L:D; lights on at 0700 h and off at 1900 h). Food (Harlan Teklad 7012) and water were provided ad libitum. The Beckman Institute Animal Facility is AAALAC approved. All procedures were approved by the University of Illinois Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and adhered to National Institutes of Health (NIH) guidelines. All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and their sufferings.

Experimental design

Eight-week-old mice were individually housed in cages with (runners) or without (sedentary) running wheels for 43 days. Mice were divided equally by strain and sex into runner and sedentary conditions. Rooms in each strain, with the exception of BTBR T+tf/J (n = 9 females and 7 males). These strains were chosen for several reasons. First, they are all isogenic, i.e. same sex members of a strain are all genetically identical. This is useful for partitioning phenotypic variation into genetic and environmental components (Crabbe et al. 1990). It is also useful because the genotypes are reproducible indefinitely. Different investigators over many years can establish information about the specific genotypes used herein. Second, the strains are broadly distributed on the phylogeny of laboratory mouse strains, i.e. they are genetically diverse (Rhodes et al. 2007). Third, they are listed as priority in the Mouse Phenome Database. Hence, these strains are among the most widely used in biomedical research and becoming incorporated into a database of genetic and phenotypic information. Fourth, the F1 hybrid strains, B6D2F1/J and B6129SF1/J, were included to incorporate heterozygosity in the estimation of genetic differences. The F1 hybrids are isogenic but also heterozygous at all polymorphic loci segregating in the parental strains. B6129SF1/J is the cross between a C57BL/6J female and a 129S1/SvJ male. B6D2F1/J is the cross between a C57BL/6J female and a DBA/2J male.

The experiments were conducted in two batches (n = 96 per batch) that consisted of 8 mice per stain equally divided by sex (n = 4 males and 4 females), with the exception of BTBR T+tf/J (n = 3 males and 5 females in the second batch).

Immunohistochemistry

Following Clark et al. (2008) animals were anesthetized with 150 mg/kg sodium pentobarbital (i.p.) and then perfused transcardially with 4% paraformaldehyde in a phosphate buffer solution (PBS). Brains were postfixed overnight and transferred to 30% sucrose in PBS. Brains were sectioned using a cryostat into 40 micron coronal sections and stored in tissue cryoprotectant at −20°C. Two separate 1-in-6 series of these sections (i.e. series of sections throughout the rostro-caudal extent of the brain with 240 micron increments separating each section) were stained in each of the following ways.

1. BrdU-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB): Purpose – To detect the number of BrdU-positive newly divided cells, located adjacent to or in the granule cell layer. Free-floating sections were washed in Tris-buffering solution (TBS) and then treated with 0.6% hydrogen peroxide. To denature DNA for BrdU detection, sections were pretreated with 50% deionized formamide. 0% 20× saline sodium citrate buffer, 2n hydrochloric acid and 0.1 M boric acid. Sections were blocked with a solution of 0.3% Triton-X and 3% goat serum in TBS (TBS-X plus) and then incubated in primary antibody against BrdU made in rat (Accurate, Westbury, NY, USA) at a dilution of 1:100 in TBS-X plus for 72 h at 4°C. Sections were then washed in TBS, treated with TBS-X plus for 30 min and then incubated in secondary antibody against rat made in goat at 1:250 in TBS-X plus for 100 min at room temperature. Sections were then treated using the ABC system (Vector, Burlington, CA, USA) and stained using a diaminobenzidine kit (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA).

2. Triple fluorescent label: Purpose – To determine the proportion of BrdU-positive cells (BrdU+) in the dentate gyrus that differentiated into neurons. The same procedure as step 1 was used except a cocktail was used for the primary antibody step, rabbit anti S100B (1:200; Swant, Switzerland), rat anti-BrdU (1:100; Accurate) and mouse anti-NeuN (1:50; Chemicon, Billerica, MA, USA), together. Secondary antibodies were conjugated with fluorescent markers (Cy3 anti-rat, Cy2-anti mouse and Cy5 anti-rabbit) at dilution 1:200 and also delivered as a cocktail.
Exercise-induced neurogenesis in 12 mouse strains

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using SAS version 9.1 or R statistical software version 2.11.1. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. Home cage activity (average distance traveled in cages without wheels) and wheel running (average distance run) were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with strain (12 genotypes), sex (male vs. female), and the interaction between strain and sex as factors.

Adult hippocampal neurogenesis was measured as the total number of BrdU-positive cells multiplied by the estimated fraction of BrdU cells expressing NeuN per volume granule layer. Adult neurogenesis and volume of the granule cell layer were analyzed by three-way ANOVA with strain (12 genotypes), sex (male vs. female), treatment (sedentary vs. runner) and all interactions entered in the model. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis was also analyzed separately for sedentary mice and runners using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), with strain entered as the categorical variable and average distance traveled in cages without wheels (by video tracking) or average distance traveled on running wheels entered as the continuous covariate, respectively. Tukey tests were used to evaluate post hoc comparisons of means between groups.

The proportion of BrdU-labeled cells in the granule cell layer that also expressed NeuN was analyzed by logistic regression. For these analyses, the deviance is reported in place of an F statistic.

Phenotypic correlations were estimated as Pearson’s \( r \) between individual trait values. Genetic correlations were estimated as Pearson’s \( r \) and Spearman’s \( \rho \) between strain means for two different traits. Outlier strains for genetic correlations were determined using a linear model that predicts one trait (strain mean) as a function of another plus a categorical variable that differentiates the outlier-in-question from the rest of the data points.

Results

Sedentary levels of physical activity
Strains significantly varied with respect to average distance traveled in home cages without wheels (see Fig. 1a). On average, males moved 88% as far as females across all strains. This was reflected in a significant effect of strain \( (F_{11,72} = 12.2, P < 0.0001) \) and sex \( (F_{1,72} = 4.2, P = 0.045) \), but not the interaction between strain and sex \( (P > 0.05) \). CAST/EiJ moved the most \( (0.49 \text{ km/day} \pm 0.066 \text{ SE}, \text{ collapsed across sex}) \), whereas 129S1/SvImJ moved the least \( (0.13 \text{ km/day} \pm 0.016 \text{ SE}) \).

Wheel running
Strains significantly varied with respect to average distance traveled on running wheels (see Fig. 1b). On average, males ran 80% as far as females across all strains. This was reflected by a significant effect of strain \( (F_{11,72} = 8.1, P < 0.0001) \) and sex \( (F_{1,72} = 10.7, P = 0.002) \), but not the...
interaction between strain and sex. B6129SF1/J ran the most (10.2 km/day ± 0.88 SE, collapsed across sex), whereas 129S1/SvImJ ran the least (2.8 km/day ± 0.79 SE, collapsed across sex).

**Body mass**
Wheel running exercise significantly reduced body mass across all strains and sexes. This was reflected by a significant main effect of treatment ($F_{1,138} = 5.8, P = 0.02$), strain ($F_{11,138} = 55.1, P < 0.0001$) and sex ($F_{1,138} = 167.5, P < 0.0001$), but no interactions. On average, runners were 97% as heavy as sedentary animals and females were 84% as heavy as males. CAST/EiJ mice were the smallest (12.9 g ±0.29 SE, collapsed across sex and exercise conditions), whereas BTBR T+tf/J were the largest (29.8 g ±0.87 SE).

**Adult hippocampal neurogenesis**
In this study, neurogenesis is defined as the total number of BrdU-positive cells per volume granule cell layer of the dentate gyrus multiplied by the estimated fraction of BrdU-positive cells differentiated into neurons (i.e. co-labeled with NeuN, see Neuronal differentiation). Neurogenesis represented per volume was highly correlated with the total number of BrdU-positive neurons (not corrected by volume) across all subjects ($n = 192$, Pearson’s $r = 0.94$, $P < 0.0001$). This is because the coefficient of variation (CV) for the total number of BrdU neurons was much larger than for estimated volumes (CV was 0.83 vs. 0.21, respectively); hence, dividing by volume had only a small effect on changing relative differences in total BrdU neuron numbers. Total numbers of BrdU neurons are reported in Table 1 and were significantly correlated with the volume of the granule layer across all subjects ($n = 192$, Pearson’s $r = 0.47$, $P < 0.0001$).

**Sedentary density of new neurons**
Baseline density of new neurons in sedentary mice significantly varied depending on genotype (Fig. 2). This was reflected by a significant effect of strain ($F_{11,72} = 349.1, P < 0.0001$) and the interaction between strain and treatment ($F_{11,144} = 3.3, P = 0.0005$). All pairwise Tukey tests comparing sedentary vs. runners within each strain were significant (all $P < 0.05$). The main effect of sex and all interactions between sex and the other variables were not significant (all $P < 0.05$).

**Exercise-induced neurogenesis**
Exercise significantly increased adult hippocampal neurogenesis in all strains. However, the magnitude of the effect depended on genotype (Fig. 2). This was reflected by a significant effect of strain ($F_{11,144} = 5.2, P < 0.0001$), treatment (runner vs. sedentary) ($F_{1,144} = 349.1, P < 0.0001$) and the interaction between strain and treatment ($F_{11,144} = 3.3, P = 0.0005$). All pairwise Tukey tests comparing sedentary vs. runners within each strain were significant (all $P < 0.05$). The main effect of sex and all interactions between sex and the other variables were not significant (all $P < 0.05$).

**Figure 2: Genetic differences in exercise-induced adult hippocampal neurogenesis.** Top, representative sections through the dentate gyrus of a sedentary mouse and a runner stained for BrdU with (a) DAB as the chromogen and (b) triple fluorescent stained BrdU red, NeuN (mature neuronal marker) green and S100β (astrocyte marker) blue. (c) Mean number of BrdU-positive cells per volume granule layer of the dentate gyrus multiplied by the proportion of BrdU cells expressing NeuN (±SE) plotted separately for each strain and treatment group (sedentary vs. runner), collapsed across sex ($n = 8$ animals per bar). The bars are sorted from least to most neurogenesis in the runner condition.

C57BL/6J was the least responsive strain. Running increased neurogenesis by only 1.6-fold, whereas all other strains showed more than a doubling in neurogenesis from running. The most responsive strains increased neurogenesis by four- to fivefold, including AKR/J, B6129SF1/J, BALB/cByJ, CAST/EiJ and SM/J.

**Volume of the granule cell layer of the dentate gyrus**
Strains significantly differed in the volume of the dentate gyrus (Table 1). Wheel running increased volume across all strains by a similar magnitude (approximately 9%, see below). This was reflected by a significant effect of strain...
We analyzed a total of 4835 BrdU-positive cells (age 34–43 days old) in the granule layer of the dentate gyrus by confocal microscopy to determine whether they also expressed the neuronal marker NeuN. An average of 61 BrdU-positive cells per strain and sex were analyzed for sedentary animals and 140 per strain and sex for runners. Running increased the percentage of BrdU cells displaying NeuN by a similar amount across all strains and sexes (see Table 1). This was reflected by a significant main effect of treatment (runner vs. sedentary) (deviance = 6.2, \( P < 0.0001 \)) in the logistic regression, but no significant main effects of strain, sex or any interactions (all \( P > 0.05 \)). Collapsed across strain and sex, the average percentage of BrdU cells displaying NeuN in sedentary mice was 78% (±1.1) and for runners it was 90% (±0.5).

**Analysis of covariance**

**Home cage activity as the covariate**

Individual distance traveled in the home cages of sedentary animals (without running wheels) was not significantly correlated with levels of neurogenesis across animals (Pearson’s \( r = 0.05, P > 0.05 \)) (Fig. 3a). In the ANCOVA, strain was significant (\( F_{11,72} = 8.5, P < 0.0001 \)), but distance traveled and the interaction between distance and strain were not (\( P > 0.05 \)).

**Wheel running as the covariate**

Individual distance run was significantly correlated with density of new neurons across all animals (Pearson’s \( r = 0.49, P < 0.0001 \)). However, certain strains displayed significantly more new neurons than other strains for a given level of wheel running behavior (Fig. 3b). This was reflected by a significant effect of distance run (\( F_{11,72} = 33.8, P < 0.0001 \)) and strain (\( F_{1,72} = 2.6, P = 0.008 \)) but no interaction

### Table 1: Strain means (SE) for total number of BrdU neurons, volume of the granule layer and proportion of BrdU cells differentiating into neurons, separated by treatment group (sedentary vs. runners; \( N = 8 \) per estimate)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Total BrdU neurons</th>
<th>Volume (mm(^3))</th>
<th>Proportion NeuN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sedentary</td>
<td>Runner</td>
<td>Sedentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129S1/SvImJ</td>
<td>886 (119)</td>
<td>2683 (627)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.034)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKR/J</td>
<td>1038 (190)</td>
<td>6024 (900)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6129SF1/J</td>
<td>1907 (159)</td>
<td>6212 (1601)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.032)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6D2F1/J</td>
<td>1615 (252)</td>
<td>6030 (501)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALB/cByJ</td>
<td>1281 (195)</td>
<td>5796 (974)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.028)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTBR T+tf/J</td>
<td>1737 (150)</td>
<td>3921 (346)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/10J</td>
<td>1703 (192)</td>
<td>5369 (825)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6J</td>
<td>3058 (307)</td>
<td>5430 (549)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAST/EJ</td>
<td>879 (118)</td>
<td>4122 (305)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBA/2J</td>
<td>751 (118)</td>
<td>2643 (329)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOD/ShiLtJ</td>
<td>1305 (288)</td>
<td>5378 (817)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM/J</td>
<td>849 (107)</td>
<td>4466 (607)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Br11,144 = 10.6, \( P < 0.0001 \)) and treatment (\( F_{11,144} = 15.4, P < 0.0001 \)) in the three-way ANOVA but no significant effects of sex or any interactions. On average across all animals, the granule cell layer in sedentary mice was 91% the volume of runners. Collapsed across treatment, BTBR T+tf/J displayed the smallest volume at 0.34 cubic mm (±0.014 SE), whereas B6129SF1/J had the largest at 0.52 cubic mm (±0.026 SE).

**Neuronal differentiation**

The relationship between distance run and the number of new neurons expressed NeuN was also examined against distance run on wheels. (b) Individual levels of neurogenesis plotted against distance traveled in cages without wheels. (b) Individual levels of neurogenesis plotted against distance run on wheels.
(P > 0.05) in the ANCOVA, where individual distance run was entered as a continuous variable and strain as a categorical variable.

**Genetic correlations**

**Between physical activity traits**

Wheel running behavior and levels of physical activity in home cages without wheels (as measured by video tracking) were not significantly genetically correlated. This was reflected by a nonsignificant strain–mean correlation between distance run on wheels and distance traveled in home cages without wheels (n = 12, Pearson’s r = 0.46, Spearman’s $\rho = 0.46$, $P > 0.05$) (see Fig. 4a). However, B6129SF1/J was a significant outlier ($F_{1,9} =17.1$, $P = 0.003$). It displayed relatively low levels of activity without wheels (approximately 0.2 km/day) but the highest levels of wheel running (approximately 10 km/day). Without B6129SF1/J, the genetic correlation was significant (n = 11, Pearson’s $r = 0.74$, $P = 0.009$; Spearman’s $\rho = 0.73$, $P = 0.02$).

**Between physical activity and neurogenesis**

Physical activity was not significantly genetically correlated with levels of neurogenesis under sedentary conditions (Fig. 4b). Pearson’s $r$ for the strain mean correlation between distance traveled in cages without wheels and number of new neurons was $-0.08$ (n = 12, $P > 0.05$; Spearman’s $\rho = 0.15$, $P > 0.05$). However, a significant genetic correlation was observed between wheel running and adult hippocampal neurogenesis (Fig. 4c). Pearson’s $r$ for the strain mean correlation between distance run on wheels and number of new neurons was $0.66$ (n = 12, $P = 0.02$; Spearman’s $\rho = 0.62$, $P = 0.03$).

**Between neurogenesis in sedentary and runner conditions**

Neurogenesis measured in standard sedentary conditions was not significantly genetically correlated with neurogenesis measured in animals housed with running wheels (Fig. 4d). Pearson’s $r$ for the strain mean correlation between neurogenesis in runners vs. sedentary animals was $0.30$ (n = 12, $P > 0.05$; Spearman’s $\rho = 0.29$, $P > 0.05$).

**Discussion**

Our results illustrate the robustness of the effects of wheel running on increasing adult hippocampal neurogenesis in mice and the importance of background genetics for determining the magnitude of the neuroplastic response. The significant gene by environment interaction for the effects of running on neurogenesis across strains implies that it should be possible to find plasticity genes or genes that predispose large vs. small enhancement in neurogenesis from running. The list of reasonable candidates from the literature is large and includes, but is not limited to, genes that regulate signaling from trophic factors such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor, nerve growth factor, neurotrophin-3 and growth factors such as insulin-like growth factor 1, fibroblast growth factor 2 and vascular endothelial growth factor; genes that are expressed during neuron development (e.g. nestin, sox2, NeuroD, TLX, Cdk5, doublecortin and Tis21) and genes that regulate cellular metabolism (e.g. leptin signaling and glucose transport) (Aberg et al. 2000; Fabel et al. 2003; Favaro et al. 2009; Frielingdorf et al. 2007; Fukuda et al. 2003; Garza et al. 2008; Johnson et al. 2003; Lagace et al. 2008; Membrez et al. 2006; Palmer et al. 1995; Rossi et al. 2006; Seki 2002; Zhang et al. 2008).

An important discovery is that C57BL/6J, the most frequent mouse strain previously used to characterize effects of wheel running on adult hippocampal neurogenesis, was the least responsive strain to have a running wheel. Running increased neurogenesis in C57BL/6J by 1.6-fold, a level consistent with other reports (Clark et al. 2008, 2009, 2010; van Praag et al. 1999a,b), whereas all other strains displayed at least a doubling of neurogenesis, and several displayed four- to fivefold increases (see Fig. 2). The low-fold response in C57BL/6J relative to other strains was in part due to a relatively high baseline level of neurogenesis and in part to a low level of running. The high
baseline level of neurogenesis under sedentary conditions (Fig. 2) is consistent with previous reports (Kempermann & Gage 2002a,b; Kempermann et al. 1997, 2006). Moreover, consistent with literature, C57BL/6J also ran less than other strains (Fig. 1b) (Lightfoot et al. 2004) and average daily distance run was significantly correlated with neurogenesis (Figs. 3b and 4c). In the ANCOVA, C57BL/6J displayed average levels of neurogenesis relative to other strains for a fixed level of running. Hence, the C57BL/6J genotype may not be the ideal model organism to study exercise-induced neurogenesis because the change in neurogenesis from running is relatively small in comparison with other strains, and therefore, statistical power to find correlated responses is limited.

Another important discovery was that genetic variation in neurogenesis under standard housing conditions was unrelated to running levels of neurogenesis (Fig. 4d). The lack of genetic correlation suggests that different genes influence variation in adult hippocampal neurogenesis under sedentary vs. runner conditions. Previous work has characterized genetic variation in levels of adult hippocampal neurogenesis among laboratory strains of mice under standard conditions similar to our sedentary treatment, without running wheels or enrichment (Kempermann & Gage 2002a,b; Kempermann et al. 1997). Recent work has begun mapping genes that influence variation in levels of neurogenesis under these standard sedentary conditions in genetic reference populations (e.g. BXD and AXB) (Kempermann et al. 2006; Philip et al. 2010). Our findings suggest that the genes and pathways discovered in these studies will likely be different from those that increase neurogenesis in response to running. If the goal is to identify genes and biological pathways that enhance neurogenesis, it will be useful to include aerobic exercise in the analysis because results show that the greatest increases in neurogenesis are produced from combining the appropriate genes with the appropriate environmental conditions.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the relationship between physical activity in the home cage without a running wheel and number of new neurons in the hippocampus. Strains differed in how physically active they were in cages without wheels (Fig. 1a). However, physical activity was not correlated with adult hippocampal neurogenesis in animals housed in cages without wheels (Fig. 3a). This contrasts markedly with results for animals housed with running wheels. Individual level of running was significantly correlated with adult hippocampal neurogenesis (Fig. 3b), a result that has been reported before for individuals within strains (Allen et al. 2001; Bednarczyk et al. 2009; Clark et al. 2009; Rhodes et al. 2003). We interpret this finding to imply that physical activity must reach a certain threshold level that taxes aerobic capacity before it can increase neurogenesis in a quantitative fashion (Chaddock et al. 2010; Erickson et al. 2009; Pereira et al. 2007).

Adult hippocampal neurogenesis is a multistage process that occurs over a period of weeks in rodents (van Praag et al. 2002). It requires stem cell proliferation, survival of daughter cells, differentiation into neurons and integration into circuits. In this study we measured net neurogenesis resulting from the entire process occurring over 6 weeks because in the end, the survival and integration of new neurons is what matters for regenerative medicine. We did not attempt to separate the contribution of proliferation vs. survival as was performed in early studies (Kempermann & Gage 2002a,b; van Praag et al. 1999b). Theoretically, exercise could increase neurogenesis by increasing proliferation, survival or differentiation. Although early reports suggested that wheel running increases neurogenesis primarily by increasing proliferation (Olson et al. 2006; van Praag et al. 1999b), more recent studies on C57BL/6J show that the effect of increased proliferation from wheel running is small and transient and that the major factor sustaining increased neurogenesis from wheel running is increased survival and differentiation (Clark et al. 2010; Fuss et al. 2009; Kronenberg et al. 2006; Snyder et al. 2009). Future work is needed to determine whether survival and differentiation are the major factors contributing to increased neurogenesis from exercise in the other genotypes.

The strains of mice compared in our study were all isogenic, meaning that same sex individuals within a strain were genetically identical. We used this approach to separate genetic from environmental contributions to phenotypic variation. When individuals from a strain are all genetically identical, variation within strains can only be attributed to environment, whereas variation between strains can be attributed to genetic influences, assuming contributions of maternal effects and early rearing environment to between-strain variation are minimal (Crabbe et al. 1990; Rhodes et al. 2007). Using this strategy, we found evidence for significant genetic influences on physical activity, neurogenesis and volume of the granule layer of the dentate gyrus (DG). Broad sense heritability estimates, representing $R^2$ values from one-way ANOVAs with strain as the factor, are summarized in Table 2. The results suggest that it should be possible to find genes or specific locations in the DNA where changes occur between strains that explain the heritable variation in the phenotypes (Kempermann et al. 2006).

An interesting finding was that the pattern of inheritance for physical activity and neurogenesis in the F₁ hybrids was different under sedentary and runner conditions. Wheel running behavior and running-induced neurogenesis showed significant overdominance or heterosis. The hybrids ran more (Fig. 1b) and grew more new neurons when exposed to running wheels (Fig. 2c) as compared to either of their parental strains (all post hoc $P < 0.05$). This result suggests that heterozygosity (having alternative alleles at a single locus) increases exercise-induced adult hippocampal neurogenesis above and beyond the additive contributions of each allele as measured separately in the homozygous state. The implication is that combinations of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Heritability estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R^2$ values from one-way ANOVAS, all significant at $P < 0.001$.
alternative gene transcripts or expression patterns produce the greatest increases in wheel running and exercise-induced neurogenesis. In contrast, home cage activity (Fig. 1a) and sedentary levels of neurogenesis (Fig. 2c) showed additive or dominant effects. The F1 hybrids were intermediate to the parental strains or not significantly different from C57BL/6J.

We identified two low and four high responding strains that could be contrasted in a future research program to discover genes and biological correlates of enhanced or suppressed adult hippocampal neurogenesis. The following two strains displayed low levels of exercise-induced neurogenesis relative to the other strains and low levels for a fixed amount of running as indicated by ANCOVA: 129S1/SvImJ and DBA/2J. The following four strains displayed high levels of neurogenesis for a fixed amount of running and high levels overall: AKR/J, C57BL/10J, BALB/cByJ and NOD/ShiLtJ. These particular strains deserve follow-up examination for correlated features at multiple levels of biological organization from molecules to behavior. For example, it would be useful to find changes in gene expression in the hippocampus from running that are common in the high responding strains and uncommon in the low responding strains. Such genes would represent candidates for increasing sensitivity to exercise-induced neurogenesis that could be directly tested using knockin or knockdown approaches such as transgenic mice carrying multiple copies of the gene or RNA interference, respectively. Moreover, if the same strains were tested for effects of exercise on learning and memory tasks, it would be possible to determine whether the strains that display the greatest levels of exercise-induced adult hippocampal neurogenesis also display the greatest enhancement in behavioral performance from exercise. Such data would bring useful information to the debate about the functional significance of exercise-induced neurogenesis in behavioral performance (Kempermann 2002; van Praag 2009; Schinder & Gage 2004). Analysis of exercise-induced neurogenesis in more strains including BXD Recombinant Inbred lines would substantially increase the power for testing these and other related hypotheses (Kempermann et al. 2006).

Artificially replicating the microenvironment in the brain responsible for increasing neurogenesis for therapeutics may be difficult. But, it may be possible to identify genes or specific molecules that increase sensitivity to a natural stimulator of plasticity in the adult mammalian brain, e.g. aerobic physical activity. Finding genes and biological pathways that differentiate high and low responders represents a promising approach. In the future, it might be possible to manipulate a specific pathway in the brain that in combination with exercise could enhance the growth and incorporation of new nerve cells into the adult hippocampus.
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